

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,637	11/18/2003	Masami Kato	00862,003186.1	3253
5514 7590 04/14/2008 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAM	MINER
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA		SHERALI, ISHRAT I		
NEW YORK,	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/714,637	KATO, MASAMI				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Sherali Ishrat	2624				

Official format 2027
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (781 1.39(a)). In overth, however, may a reply be timely filled to the communication. - The communication of the communicatio
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-45</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 □ Claim(s) <u>31-45</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/466.907. 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/18/03 and 11/18/03.	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/714,637 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

Response to Amendment/Arguments

1. This action is in response to amendment arguments received on 01/04/2008.

Based on amendment to claims 41-45, rejection of claims 41-45 under 35 USC 101 is withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments regarding claims 41-45 with respect to obviousness type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,446 is not persuasive.

Applicant has argued that inn the present invention, a specific image area of the input image is extracted utilizing a two step process. First, the specific image is extracted by specifying an image area having color component values within a predetermined range, and then, second, a determination is made whether or not the specified image area has a predetermined shape. In contrast, in the claims of the '446 patent, the specific area is extracted by pattern matching between an area having a predetermined color component value range and a predetermined shape.

U.S. Patent No. 6,665,446 recite the specific area is extracted by pattern matching between an area having a predetermined color component value range and a predetermined shape and since independent claims in the U.S. Patent No. 6,665,446 recite comprising i.e. claim in the U.S. Patent No. 6,665,446 are open-ended therefore it also includes First, the specific image is extracted by specifying an image area having color component values within a predetermined range, and then, second, a

determination is made whether or not the specified image area has a predetermined shape.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,637

Art Unit: 2624

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 31-45 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,446. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 31-45 claims the similar subject matter of claim 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,446.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,637 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

Communication

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sherali Ishrat whose telephone number is 571-272-

7398. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 571-272-7778. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Sherali Ishrat/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

April 11, 2008